Posters S15

PP1. Resource implications of paclitaxel/cisplatinum versus cyclophosphamide/cisplatinum in the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer.

Adriaenssen I¹, Piccart M², Roy JA^{1,2}, Hoctin Boes G¹
¹EORTC Data Center, Brussels, Belgium; ²Institut Jules Bordet, Brussels, Belgium

Background: In advanced ovarian cancer, the substitution of paclitaxel for cyclophosphamide was shown to increase in the GOG trial the median survival. However, the acquisition cost of paclitaxel is still very high and the data reported here are a first attempt at assessing the incremental costs of the gain in survival.

Methods: An intergroup trial (EORTC-NCIC-NOVOCA-SGCSG) was launched in 1994², to confirm the efficacy of paclitaxel/cisplatinum (PC) versus cyclophosphamide/cisplatinum (CC) in advanced ovarian cancer. The chemotherapy regimen was given every three weeks in both arms and paclitaxel was given as a three hour infusion at the dose of 175 mg/m² to be increased to 200 mg/m² after the first cycle. The dose of cisplatin was identical in the two arms (75 mg/m²) with cyclophosphamide given at 750 mg/m² in the CC arm. A total of 680 patients have been recruited in the trial, 231 from the EORTC. In the EORTC case report forms, economic variables, such as the exact doses given of the cytotoxic agents, mean number of hospital days per cycle of chemotherapy and the duration of the chemotherapy infusion. In addition, some of the centers completed a special economic form intended to capture some other forms of resource utilisation: ambulatory visits, samples taken for laboratory analysis, additional examinations, transfusions and concomitant medication. Costs are calculated by using the mean resource utilisation from the trial and official charges from the Belgian health care system.

Results: From the EORTC centers, 115 patients were randomised to the CC arm and 116 to the PC arm. The economic form was completed for 58 patients. During the treatment period, the number of hospital days and the duration of infusion did not differ significantly. The differences found for the other types of resource utilisation are also limited, which is explainable by the fact that the patients in both arms were followed in the same manner. However, due to the difference in the acquisition costs of the cytotoxic agents, the mean cost of the PC regimen is found to be 3 times higher than the mean cost for the CC arm.

<u>Discussion</u>: The results reported here have only taken into account some of the direct medical costs of first line treatment. The large difference in costs at this stage is mainly determined by the high acquisition cost of paclitaxel. The next step will be to incorporate the costs of second line treatment in the analysis, since paclitaxel was widely used as second line treatment for patients initially randomised to the CC arm. This will tend to reduce the difference in costs between the two arms. Finally, the difference in average total cost will be related to the difference in survival.

Adriaenssen I, EORTC Health Economics Unit, Av. E. Mounier 83/Bte 11, 1200 Brussels, Belgium

PP2. Costs of inappropriate hospital use in cancer and non-cancer patients in a tertiary university hospital

Akhan Ascioglu S, Hayran M, Sain G, Unal S

Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine Ankara, Turkey

<u>Background:</u> As the health care costs continue to rise, developing countries suffer more but can not cope with the issue as efficiently as the developed countries because of lack of adequate information, research and regulations in that area. However the debate is growing on the allocation of resources among primary health care versus higher levels of health care including cancer treatment. Since hospital care accounts for a large proportion of health care costs, utilization review (UR) is employed to increase the cost effectiveness of medical care by improvement in the efficiency and appropriateness of hospital use. This study is

designed to estimate the rates and costs of unnecessary hospitalization among cancer and non-cancer patients in a tertiary care university hospital of a developing country. For this purpose a retrospective hospital utilization review was combined with cost evaluation.

Methods: Appropriateness Evaluation Protocol (AEP) was used to accomplish hospital UR. AEP has been shown to be a valid and reliable instrument for identifying non-acute medical admissions and days of care in acute care hospitals both in USA and Europe. The research was conducted in the internal medicine wards of a university hospital in Turkey. The data for UR and cost evaluation were collected by retrospective review of individual patient medical records by an internist. A random sample of all admissions to these medical wards in 1995 was taken. Each day of stay of the randomized admissions was reviewed. AEP was used as originally described; fulfillment of the at least one criteria justified appropriateness and override option was allowed. Override enables the reviewer to use his/her judgment to reverse the criteria dependent decision. For cost estimation, medical charts of patients were thoroughly analyzed on each day of hospitalization for each administered drug, use of laboratory and radiological services, medical and surgical procedures. Their costs are calculated on mid 1995 market prices in USD. Charges are used for price of the hospital bed which includes overheads, hotel services and disposable items in state hospitals in Turkey. Average hourly cost of medical, nursing and administrative staff was calculated from salaries paid by the state. Only health service costs are considered and from the perspective of society.

Results: A random sample of 248 admissions and 3842 patient-days were evaluated. 84 (34%) were oncology patient admissions and 164 (66%) were nononcology admissions. Inappropriate admission rate was 20% (17/84) in oncology patients whereas 60% (98/164) in non-oncology patients. Inappropriate hospital days were 44% (668/1532) in oncology patients but 71% (1646/2310) in nononcology group. Average length of stay for appropriately admitted oncology patients were 17 days but 22 days in inappropriately admitted. Non-oncology patients stayed 14 days on average whether appropriately or inappropriately admitted. The estimation of total costs for all the patients in the study were 790.000 USD. The cost for the oncology patient was 6572 USD/patient and for the non-oncology 1448 USD/patient. The total cost during hospitalization for an inappropriately admitted oncology patient was 5442 USD/patient and for the appropriately admitted patient 6859 USD/patient. Whereas for appropriate nononcology patient 1844 USD/patient, and for inappropriate 1181 USD/patient. Additional costs associated with only hospitalization for all the inappropriate patient-days were estimated to be around 50,000 USD in this study. Since our population is a random sample of all admissions and days of hospital stay, we conclude that it may be used as an unbiased estimate of additional costs of inappropriate admissions in one year for this hospital. This leads to the calculation of approximately 700.000 USD which is the amount spent totally unnecessarily and can pay for the appropriate hospitalization of 106 oncology patients only in

<u>Discussion:</u> More information and rational planning is necessary in developing countries for higher levels of health care and allocation of severely scarce resources.

Akhan Ascioglu S, EORTC Data Center, Av. E Mounier 83/Bte 11, 1200 Brussels, Belgium

PP3. Cost-analysis of cervical cancer screening in the Flemish Region: The spontaneous versus the organised approach

Arbyn M, Van Oyen H

Scientific Institute of Public Health Louis Pasteur (ex-IHE), Brussels, Belgium

Background: The opportunistic screening for cervical cancer in Flanders leads to very important costs as the majority of the screened women in the age group of 25 to 64 years is examined too frequently (generally once a year, sometimes more often), while 20 % of the target population is not protected (never screened or more than 3 years ago). By organising screening according to European guidelines and at the recommended interval (once every 3 years) more women would be covered at lower cost and even more effectively if quality assurance measures are applied at the same time. The costs of the opportunistic approach are compared with those from an organised programme from the viewpoint of the National Health Insurance Company (NHIC).

¹ McGuire et al., The New England Journal of Medicine, Vol.334, Jan 4 1996, pp. 1-6

² Piccart et al., Proceedings of Asco, Vol. 16, 1997, pp. 352a, no. 1258

S16 Posters

Methods: The NHIC price list for a consultation of a gynaecologist for taking a Papanicolaou smear and for the cytologic interpretation is applied to 1.573,000 women aged 25 to 64 years from the Flemish Region. Information on actual attendance to screening and the relative contribution of the involved professional groups, and on the reason for the last cervical smear are derived from a recent cross-sectional study. Corrections are made for women who underwent total hysterectomy. Costs for the organisation are estimated from financial reports of the ongoing Flemish Cervical Cancer Screening Programme aiming to reach 85% of the target population. The total yearly NHIC expenses and the cost per screened woman for both approaches are compared.

Results: About 1,200,000 screening tests per 3 years or 400.000 annual smears are needed to cover the target population at 85 %. The total expences for all activities are calculated to be 485 million Belgian Francs (BEF, 40 BEF equals 1 ECU), including total estimated costs for the organisation of call-recall and registration. In 1994, about 0.67 million smears (essentially opportunistic) were interpreted from women resident in the Flemish Region. The total NHIC costs of spontaneous screening for that year is estimated at 675 million BEF. The difference between the two approaches is 190 million BEF by year. The proportion of women having had a smear in that period is assessed at about 80 %. The mean annual screening cost by covered woman is 47 % higher in a health system where screening is essentially opportunistic than in an organised context.

<u>Discussion</u>: Health authorities should realise that structural tariff differentiation and integration of cervical cancer screening in primary health care might further increase the cost gap between the spontaneous and the organised alternative.

Arbyn Marc, Epidemiologist, Coordinator Flemish Cervical Cancer Screening Programme, Scientific Institute of Public Health Louis Pasteur, J. Wytsman Street 14, 1050 Brussels, Belgium, E-mail: M.Arbyn@epil.ihe.be

PP4. Cost-effectiveness of radionuclide bone scanning to rule out asymptomatic bone metastases in clinically operable non-small cell lung cancer

Arican A, Dinçol D, Samur M, Demirkazlk A, Sencan O, Akbulut H, Karaoguz H, Çay F, Içli F

Department of Medical Oncology, Ankara University, Faculty of Medicine

<u>Background:</u> In patients with clinically operable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) who have normal serum calcium, alkaline phosphatase (AP) level and upper abdominal computed tomography and no bone pain, routine radionuclide bone scanning (BS) to rule out unsuspected bone metastases has never been shown to be cost-effective. In this study we tried to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of routine preoperative use of BS in patients with NSCLC.

Methods: We performed BS in 34 patients with NSCLC who have no bone pain, normal serum calcium and AP levels. Mean cost of operative procedure including preoperative evaluation, operation and postoperative periods of all patients was calculated. On the other hand we calculated a supposed mean cost assuming all 34 patients were operated without BS and compared to the previous mean cost.

Results: Eight of the patients were stage-I, 3 were stage-II, 23 were stage-Illa and all considered to be operable before BS. None had bone pain, high serum calcium or AP. We evaluated all the patients with BS and found pathologic activity in 17 of them. The areas of pathologic activities were evaluated with direct X-ray films. In 5 of them bone metastases were confirmed, in 4 benign lesions were diagnosed. Other 8 patients with positive BS but negative x-ray, pathologic activity detected-bone sites were evaluated with computed tomography. Of these 8 patients 5 were proven to be metastatic and 3 were not. As a result in 34 patients considered operable before BS, 10 (29.4%) were found to have bone metastases and remaining 24 were operated with curative intend. Mean cost of actual evaluation of all patients was \$628, whereas supposed mean cost assuming 34 patients were operated without BS was \$803. There was a statistically significant cost difference favoring BS before operation (p=0.017). Besides, 10 of the patients were prevented from unnecessary operative mortality and morbidity.

<u>Discussion</u>: With this result, we may recommend routine radionuclide BS in preoperative staging of NSCLC patients.

Arican A, 2 Etap Eston Sitesi, 50. Sokak No:11/5, 06374 Eryaman-Ankara, Turkey

PP5. Teicoplanin or vancomycin in febrile neutropenic children with cancer: A randomized cost effectiveness study

Aupérin A, Capelli C, Benhamou E, Pinna A, Peeters P, Atlani C, Hartmann

Institut Gustave-Roussy, Villejuif, France; Benefit International, Genneviliers, France; Laboratoires Casenne-Division Hospitalière Marion Merell, Paris, France

Aim: To compare two antibiotic regimens, one including teicoplanin and the other including vancomycin as empirical therapies for febrile neutropenic children with cancer treated with conventional chemotherapy. The two glycopeptides have equivalent bacterial efficacy. Teicoplanin is more expensive than vancomycin but it is delivered once a day whereas vancomycin is delivered four times per day.

Method: Randomized trial in a department of pediatric oncology. Febrile neutropenic children received ceftazidime (90 mg/kg/day) associated with teicoplanin 10 mg/kg once daily or with vancomycin 10 mg/kg every 6 hours.

Endpoints: Number of hours of sleep per night assessed by nurse during hospitalisation. Cost of the therapy: cost of all the drugs received (acquisition cost, delivery costs) and cost of laboratory and radiological exams. Drug cost included prescription-related supplies, equipment and salaries. Time spent for drug preparation and administration was determinated by several direct measures for each drug.

Results: From January 95 to May 96, 67 children were randomized. 65 children were evaluable (32 in the teicoplanin group and 33 in the vancomycin group). The number of hours of sleep per night did not differ between the two groups: 7.0 hours in the teicoplanin group and 7.2 hours in the vancomycin group. The cost of all the antibiotics was higher in the teicoplanin group than in the vancomycin group (p = 0.006). The difference of median costs between the two groups was around 1 600 FF for a 6 day median treatment duration. This difference was due to the difference between teicoplanin and vancomycin (drug and delivery costs). The costs related to the other antibiotics did not differ between the two groups. Total costs (drugs and exams) did not significantly differ between the two groups (p = 0.18) although the size of the difference in median total costs was around 1 900 FF.

<u>Conclusion</u>: For febrile neutropenic children with cancer treated with conventional chemotherapy, the two antibiotic regimens were not different in terms of sleep but the cost minimization analysis showed that the regimen including vancomycin is better than the one including teicoplanin.

Aupérin A, Département de Biostatistique et d'Epidémiologie, Institut Gustave-Roussy, 39 rue Camille Desmoulins, 94805 Villejuif, France

PP6. Medico-economic evaluation of the cost of education in a cancer center

Bercez C¹, Michel J², Bonneterre ME¹, Morel S¹, Bonneterre J¹

Centre Oscar Lambret, Lille, France; ²Hadassah University Hospital Mt Scopus, Jerusalem, Israel

<u>Background</u>: Our aim was to identify the cost of educational activities, defined as all time spent by the center for student training, teaching for University degrees, and permanent professional education. Organization, preparation, and examination time was added to the duration of each specific activity, research work was excluded, and so was education when reimbursed by the University.

Method: Data were collected on a questionnaire, sent to each physician, pharmacist, scientist, head nurse, physiotherapist, and manager in the center. Information had to be given retrospectively on the activities of the previous year: from September 1, 1995 to August 31, 1996. The answers